





Exploring the Efficacy of CNN Architectures for Esophageal Cancer Classification Using Cell Vizio Images

Muhammad Talha Jahangir^{1*}, Azhar ud Din¹, Samina Naz², Naghma Ajmal³, Sumaira Parveen³, Hamna Rehman¹

¹Department of Computer Science, MNS University of Engineering and Technology, Multan, Pakistan.

²Faculty of Computing and Emerging Technologies, Emerson University, Multan, Pakistan. ³Department of Software Engineering, The Islamia University Bahawalpur, Pakistan.

*Correspondence: <u>mtalhajahangir@mnsuet.edu.pk</u>

Citation | Jahangir. M. T, Din. A, Naz. S, Ajmal. N, Parveen. S, Rehman. H, "Exploring the Efficacy of CNN Architectures for Esophageal Cancer Classification Using Cell Vizio Images", IJIST, Vol. 6 Issue. 4 pp 1720-1735, Oct 2024

Received | Sep 25, 2024 **Revised** | Oct 22, 2024 **Accepted** | Oct 23, 2024 **Published** | Oct 24, 2024.

Existing diagnostic technologies. To address this problem, a new Convolutional Neural Network and ResNet50 architecture are presented in this study to aid esophageal cancer diagnosis through the classification of Cell Vizio images. This diagnosis is made by the deep learning architecture which does tissue classification into four categories thus improving the diagnostic sensitivity. For model training and testing preoperative perforations in sixty-one patients, 11,161 images were used. Data augmentation and normalization techniques were also performed on the images to help improve the outcome. Our training accuracy reached an impressive 99% 12, while our final f1 score was 93.05%. Our Res Net 50 model obtained an F1 score of 93.26%, precision of 94.05%, recall of 93.52 %, and validation accuracy of 93.32 %. These results indicate how well our deep learning-based technique can be used as a quick, non-embolic, accurate method for early detection of esophageal carcinoma.

Keywords: Barrett's Esophagus, Cell Vizio, Convolutional Neural Network, Dysplasia, Esophageal Cancer, Gastroesophageal Reflux, Res Net 50, Squamous Epithelium.





Introduction:

Prevalent unhealthy eating habits and sedentary lifestyles have substantially detrimental effects on public health in contemporary culture. Low levels of physical activity combined with diets heavy in fats, sweets, and processed foods can lead to a variety of gastrointestinal illnesses. One notable consequence of these contemporary lifestyles is the rise in gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), a condition in which stomach acid commonly backs up into the esophagus. Barrett's esophagus, an abnormal change in the esophageal lining's cells, may eventually arise from GERD-induced chronic inflammation of the esophageal lining [1].

Barrett's Esophagus is characterized by intestinal metaplasia, where normal esophageal epithelial cells are replaced by cells resembling those found in the intestines. This illness raises the possibility of esophageal cancer, a type of cancer with a very dismal prognosis. In Figure 1 [2], the expected global incidence of esophageal cancer in 2020, 2030, and 2040 is presented in (a), as well as the expected esophageal cancer mortality in 2020, 2030, and 2040 presented in (b). The data also points to the growing incidence of esophageal cancer that is expected to be witnessed in the future decades. Chest pain, involuntary weight loss, and dysphagia on a regular basis are suggestive of esophageal cancer. Esophageal cancer is often diagnosed at an advanced and incurable stage, primarily because it tends to be asymptomatic in its early phases.



Figure 1. Estimated new cases (a) and deaths (b) of esophageal cancer worldwide in 2020, with projections for 2030 and 2040.



The prevalence of Barrett's esophagus and GERD is rising, which has led to a consistent rise in the incidence of esophageal cancer. Despite advancements in medical care and diagnostic methods, a significant challenge remains early detection. Current diagnostic methods, such as endoscopy, are invasive and largely depend on subjective image interpretation, which can result in inconsistent outcomes and the potential overlooking of cases that could have been detected earlier.

Our proposed methodology utilizes deep learning to address these issues. By automating the classification of endoscopic pictures into four unique categories—squamous, intestinal, gastric metaplasia, and dysplasia—the goal is to improve the accuracy and efficacy of esophageal cancer detection. This strategy aims to reduce the mortality rate from esophageal cancer by rectifying the deficiencies of traditional diagnostic methods and improving early detection. Images obtained are classic macroscopic images. Cell Vizio was used to get a more accurate view, at a microscopic level as shown in Figure 2 [3].





Recalling vital clinical information during a screening procedure can be difficult due to the rapid alteration of Cell Vizio pictures and the simultaneous management of extensive data by physicians. Developing an image classifier to help doctors detect and diagnose esophageal cancer is the aim of this project. Such a technology would greatly enhance patient care and wellbeing. The following classes are suggested to be recognized: - Dysplasia/Cancer - Intestinal Metaplasia - Gastric Metaplasia - Squamous Epithelium. In general, the manuscript is arranged as follows:

The introduction section provides an overview of the problem statement, literature review, and recent advancements. The dataset that provides the mapping and data statistics is found in Section II followed by the overall methodology. Section III outlines the results and discussion, including performance metrics and model comparisons, along with an analysis of training convergence and ROC curves. The manuscript concludes with a comprehensive discussion in the final section, summarizing the findings and implications, followed by the references.

Objective:

In this research, the main goal is to build and test a deep learning model that may assist in early cancer detection through the classification of esophageal tissue types from Cell Vizio images. The work presented here supersedes other approaches by making use of high-speed imaging combined with actual classification in real-time. This approach overcomes the flaws of conventional diagnosis techniques, including image interpretation processes, which might be subjective, as well as the already delayed detection of diseases.

Literature Review:

In previous studies focused on esophageal cancer classification, Kentaro Nakagawa et al. [4] described the development and outcomes of an artificial intelligence (AI) system designed



to predict the invasion depth of superficial esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). The system employed a deep learning framework known as Single Shot Multi-Box Detector, which enables efficient object detection and classification in medical images. This approach aimed to improve accuracy in assessing the cancer's progression, contributing to earlier and more precise diagnosis. The system trained and validated on 914 endoscopic images of 155 patients, using the dataset of 8660 non-magnified and 5678 magnified endoscopic images of 804 patients. The AI system exhibited a sensitivity of 90.1%, specificity of 95.8%, and accuracy of 91.0% in differentiating SM1 stage mucosal/submucosal micro-invasive cancer from SM2/3 deep invasive malignancies. The AI achieved a performance level nearly equivalent to that of 16 seasoned endoscopists, thus potentially aiding in the evaluation of esophageal SCC invasion depth. Gaoshuang Liu et al. [5] applied CNN classification of esophageal cancer (EC) and differentiated it from premalignant lesions.

Table 1. Summary of datasets, methodologies, and evaluation metrics in assistive technology

research							
Source	urce Dataset Dataset Methodology				Evaluation Metrics		
	Availability	Name			(Results)		
[4]	Yes	Osaka	Single Shot	2 ME and	Sensitivity: 90.1%		
		International	Multi-Box	non-ME	Specificity: 95.8%		
		Cancer	Detector	Endoscopy	Accuracy: 91.0%		
		Institute	architecture.				
[5]	Yes	First	CNN	3 normal	Accuracy: 85.83%		
		Affiliated	Architecture	esophagus,	Sensitivity: 94.23%		
		Hospital of	consists of 2	premalignant	Specificity: 94.67%		
		Nanjing	subnetworks	lesion, and EC	1		
		Medical	O-stream and				
		University	P-stream				
[6]	Yes	First	EL Net	4 Normal,	Sensitivity: 0.9034		
		Affiliated	Architecture	Inflammation,	Specificity: 0.9718		
		Hospital of		Barrett,	Accuracy: 0.9628		
		Nanjing		Cancer	-		
		Medical					
		University					
[7]	No	Digestive	ECADDCNN	4 normal	Accuracy: 90.63%. AUC:		
		Gastroscope		esophagus,	0.9877		
		Center of		precancerous,			
		West China		early			
		Hospital,		esophageal			
		China		cancer, and			
				advanced			
				esophageal			
				cancer			
[8]	Yes	Kvasir	DCNN	2 esophagitis	Accuracy: 94.46%,		
		Dataset		and Barrett's	precision 94.46%,		
				esophagus.	f score 94.46%, AUC		
					96.20%.		
[9]	Yes	Raman	PCA-LDA,	ESCC cell	PCA-XGB model		
		spectral data	PCA-XGB,	lines and	achieved 85% accuracy,		
		from ESCC	PCA–SVM,	tissues High	and the combined		
			and PCA-	differentiation	models achieved 90.3%		

		International Journal of Innovations in Science & Technolog				
		cell lines and	LDA, XGB,	ESCC Low	accuracy in	
		tissues	SVM stacked	differentiation	distinguishing	
			Gradient	ESCC	differentiation levels.	
			Boosting	Normal		
			Machine	esophageal		
			(GBM).	cells		
Our	Yes	Mauna Kea	Custom CNN	4. Squamous	TrainAccuracy:99.12%,	
Model		Technology	Res Net50	epithelium,	Val Accuracy :93.12%,	
				intestinal	Precision: 0.9389,	
				metaplasia,	F1-score: 0.9305,	
				gastric	Recall: 0.9332 and the	
				metaplasia,	ResNet50 model	
				dysplasia	demonstrated a 93.26%	
					F1 score , a 94.05%	
					precision , a 93.52%	
					recall, and a 99.32%	
					training accuracy and	
					93.32% validation	
					accuracy	

The model was trained using a dataset of 1,272 images and demonstrated a strong performance, achieving an accuracy of 85.83%, a sensitivity of 94.23%, and a specificity of 94.67%. It outperformed traditional methods like LBP + SVM and HOG + SVM, showing potential to assist endoscopists in identifying esophageal lesions more effectively. Esophageal Lesion Network (EL Net) was introduced by Zhan Wu et al. [6] that automatically classifies and segments esophageal lesions using DCNN-a type of neural network. EL Net integrates both local and global lesion information for classification and pixel-level segmentation tasks. Utilizing a large-scale database of 1,051 white-light endoscopic images and applying ten-fold crossvalidation, EL Net achieved a classification accuracy of 96.28%, with a sensitivity of 90.34% and a specificity of 97.18%. With 80.18% sensitivity and 96.55% specificity, it proved to be 94.62% accurate in segmenting data. According to these findings, EL Net can diagnose esophageal lesions in clinical settings with efficiency, accuracy, and reliability. Wenju Du et al. [7] introduced a novel deep learning architecture called the Efficient Channel Attention Deep Dense Convolutional Neural Network. This model classifies endoscopic esophageal videos into four primary categories: normal esophagus, precancerous esophageal conditions, early esophageal cancer (EEC), and advanced esophageal cancer, further subdividing these into a total of seven distinct sub-categories. Proposing a classification that reached 90.63% with an AUC of approximately 0.9877 on a model that employed 20,965 images from 4077 patients, the model was able to outperform all the contemporary methods. The ECA-DDCNN performs significantly better when it comes to determining diseases that have similar mucosal features which bodes well for increasing the number of available diagnostic shots of varying esophageal diseases. Chempak Kumar et al. [8] explored the use of deep convolutional neural networks (DCNNs) for early-stage esophageal cancer classification, with a particular focus on esophagitis and Barrett's esophagus (BE), which can develop into esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC). Through the incorporation of transfer learning, the performance of the ResNet50 model was significantly improved for the classification task. Achieving an accuracy of 94.46% and an AUC of 96.20%, the use of transfer learning and fine-tuning demonstrated superior results, highlighting its potential for early detection of esophageal cancer. Wenhua Huang et al. [9] classified tissues and cell lines of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) by combining machine learning and Raman spectroscopy. Using models including PCA-XGB and a stacked combination of PCA-LDA, XGB, and SVM, Wenhua Huang et al. were able to distinguish



between different ESCC tissue types with up to 90.3% accuracy. This work highlights the potential of Raman spectroscopy as a noninvasive technique for early ESCC diagnosis, providing crucial molecular insights that could improve patient outcomes. Table 1 shows the comparison of the proposed methodology with existing literature.





Resnet layer architecture used in the proposed methodology is given in Table 2.

[64, 64, 65, 65] [64, 64, 65, 65] [64, 64, 65, 65] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33]	9,408 128 0 4,096 128 0 36,864
[64, 64, 65, 65] [64, 64, 65, 65] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33]	128 0 4,096 128 0 36,864
[64, 64, 65, 65] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33]	0 4,096 128 0 36,864
$\begin{bmatrix} 64, \ 64, \ 33, \ 33 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 64, \ 64, \ 33, \ 33 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 64, \ 64, \ 33, \ 33 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 64, \ 64, \ 33, \ 33 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 64, \ 64, \ 33, \ 33 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 64, \ 64, \ 33, \ 33 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 64, \ 64, \ 33, \ 33 \end{bmatrix}$	0 4,096 128 0 36,864 128
[64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33]	4,096 128 0 36,864 128
[64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33]	128 0 36,864 128
[64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33]	0 36,864 128
[64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33] [64, 64, 33, 33]	36,864 128
[64, 64, 33, 33] [64 64 33 33]	128
[64 64 33 32]	120
[0
[64, 256, 33, 33]	16,384
[64, 256, 33, 33]	512
[64, 256, 33, 33]	16,384
[64, 256, 33, 33]	512
[64, 256, 33, 33]	0
[64, 256, 33, 33]	0
[64, 64, 33, 33]	16,384
[64, 64, 33, 33]	128
[64, 64, 33, 33]	0
[64, 64, 33, 33]	36,864
[64, 64, 33, 33]	128
[64, 64, 33, 33]	0
[64, 256, 33, 33]	16,384
[64, 256, 33, 33]	512
[64, 256, 33, 33]	0
[64, 256, 33, 33]	0
[64, 64, 33, 33]	16,384
[64, 64, 33, 33]	128
[64, 64, 33, 33]	0
[64, 64, 33, 33]	36,864
	$\begin{bmatrix} 64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 256, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\ [64, 64, 33, 33 \\] \end{bmatrix}$

Table 2. The detail with layers configurations of Res net50

Res Net Architecture:

The vanishing gradient issue addressed by Res Net, or Residual Networks shown in Figure 3 [10], uses residual connections to improve gradient flow during training. Res Net's architecture made it possible to learn complicated features efficiently, which enhanced the accuracy and resilience of the model.

CNN Model Architecture:

The torch. nn. Module in Py Torch was used to build the CNN model, which has the following layers:

- **Convolutional Layers:** Employing CNNs, several convolutional layers were applied to the medical images to capture their spatial content. These layers were created to capture the minute features required to discern between malignant and non-malignant tissues.
- **Normalization:** To speed up and stabilize the training process, batchNorm2d layers were added to normalize the activations of the convolutional layers.
- **Dropout:** To avoid overfitting, some input units are arbitrarily set to zero during training. We call this method "dropout layering."
- **Fully Connected Layers:** The last convolutional layer's output was flattened and then routed to fully connected (Linear) layers for classification. The last layer produced a probability distribution over the cancer classes.
- Activation Functions: BatchNorm2d layers were added to normalize the activations of the convolutional layers and speed up and stabilize the training process.



Figure 4. Once the Cell Vizio probe is in place, physicians can see both the macroscopic images (above), where the Cell Vizio probe appears, and the microscopic images (below). **Dataset:**

This is a challenging dataset based on Mauna Kea Technologies [2]. During an endoscopy, a camera is inserted into the patient's esophagus. The Cell Vizio probe enters the endoscope's operating channel and travels to the esophagus-stomach junction. The real-time microscopic images can help doctors make critical treatment decisions shown in Figure 4. The



dataset consists of 11,161 microscopic images from 61 patients. Among these images, 1,469 belong to class 0 ("Squamous Epithelium"), 3,177 belong to class 1 ("Intestinal Metaplasia"), 1,206 belong to class 2 ("Gastric Metaplasia"), and 3,594 belong to class 3 ("Dysplasia").

The following are the suggested classes that should be acknowledged shown in Figure

5. are:

- Squamous Epithelium
- Intestinal Metaplasia
- Gastric Metaplasia
- Cancer/DYSPLASIA



Figure 5. Classes of Esophageal Cancer

Each image is labeled with one of the classes:

- 0: "Squamous_Epithelium"
- 1: "Intestinal_metaplasia"
- 2: "Gastric_metaplasia"
- 3: "Dysplasia/Cancer"



Figure 6. Number of Images per Class



Table 3 also shows the Total number of images of each class.

Table 3. Number of images per category			
Category Name	Counts Per Category		
Squamous Epithelium	1469		
Intestinal Metaplasia	3177		
Gastric Metaplasia	1206		
Dysplasia/ Cancer	3594		

The two test sets and the training set are split 80%-10%-10% shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Train Test Splitting

Table 4 shows the total number of images based on the training set and test set with respect to the patient.

Table 4. Number of images and patients per training and testing

Category	Images	Patients
Training Set	9446	44
Test Set 1	893	10
Test Set 2	822	7

Proposed Methodology:

This section outlines our proposed methodology for classifying esophageal cancer using deep learning-based CNN Architectures as shown in Figure 8.

Pre-Processing:

The pixel intensity values in medical images may be different from each other due to differences in scanners, scanning methods, or light used when obtaining the image. The following inconsistencies are solved through normalization in an attempt to balance the intensity distributions of these images: This enables better alignment in regard to the esophagus images and there is an ability to compare them well across different datasets. While, to overcome the overfitting problem we performed data augmentation.

• Normalization: Each channel's pictures were calibrated to have a 0 mean and 1 standard deviation. Standardization guarantees that the data is on the same scale, which is necessary for effective model training.



- To ensure all images fit the required ResNet50 input size we resized all images to 224 pixels by 224 pixels.
- Data Augmentation: Techniques like random rotations, flips (horizontal and vertical), and cropping are used to increase the dataset's diversity and avoid overfitting.



Figure 8. Proposed Methodology

Evaluation Metrics:

After training, the model was evaluated on a separate validation set. The evaluation was conducted using the following performance metrics:

- Accuracy: The accuracy of the model is calculated as the ratio of correctly classified images out of the total number of images in the validation set.
- **Confusion Matrix:** A confusion matrix was created to assess the performance of the model across different classes of esophageal cancer, providing insights into which classes were most often misclassified.

Precision, Recall & F1-Score provide a balanced view of the performance of the model, especially the class imbalance that occurs in most of the medical image classification tasks.

• **Precision:** Refers to the ratio of true positives to the sum of the instances that are classified as positive by the model [11].

```
Precision = TP / (TP + FP)
```



• **Recall:** A performance statistic that compares all actual positive occurrences to the percentage of true positive instances that the model correctly predicts in binary classification [11].

$\mathbf{Recall} = \mathrm{TP} \ / \ (\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FN})$

• **F1-Score:** A performance metric that gives a balanced assessment of the performance of a binary classification model by combining precision with recall [11].

F1-Score = 2 * (precision * recall) / (precision + recall)

Result and Discussion:

The study optimized the use of Google Colab Pro, a cloud computing platform that supports GPU acceleration to hasten deep learning model training. Small-scale local experimentation and analysis were conducted on the authors' own personal laptop with an 8th generation i5 CPU, 8 GB RAM, and 256 GB SSD storage. Primarily, approaches in machine learning were Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and transfer learning through ResNet50. To make full use of time, training was conducted using multiple Colab Pro sessions throughout the project. We set epochs to 100 and employed an early-stopping approach. Early stopping strategy stopped the model training on 50 epochs when training started to be degraded on the validation set. While Batch size 64 was suitable for Resnet50 to speed up the training process

CNN Training Convergence:

The Custom Convolutional Neural Network model's training accuracy, validation accuracy, and loss when training for depicted in Figure 9. Here is a breakdown:

Cross Entropy Loss:

The blue line (Train Loss) and green line (Val Loss) represent the loss during training and validation, respectively. Both lines decrease sharply initially and then plateau, indicating that the model is learning, and the error is reducing over time.

Accuracy:

The orange dots (Train Accuracy) and purple dots (Val Accuracy) show the accuracy during training and validation. Both sets of dots increase and then level off, showing that the model's predictions are becoming more accurate as training progresses.





Training Iterations:

The x-axis shows the number of training iterations, ranging from 0 to 50. As the iterations increase, both loss decreases and accuracy increases, which is typical in training machine learning models.

Table 5. displays the CNN's training and validation performance data. The training loss of 0.0583 indicates the model effectively minimizes error on the training data, while the validation loss of 0.2288 suggests the model generalizes well, with only a small gap between the two losses. The model's performance on the training set is remarkably good, as evidenced by the training accuracy of 99.12%, and the validation accuracy of 93.12% shows good predictive ability on unobserved data. Given the circumstances, the CNN attains high accuracy and low loss, demonstrating efficient learning with little overfitting.

Table 5. Custom CNN Training	Convergence				
CNN Training Convergence					
Train Loss	0.0583				
Val Loss	0.2288				
Train Accuracy	99.12%				
Val Accuracy	93.12%				

Res Net 50 Training Convergence:

The Figure 10. illustrates the training process of a Resnet50 model. Here's a detailed explanation:

Cross Entropy Loss:

The green line (Train Loss) and dark blue line (Val Loss) represent the loss during training and validation, respectively. Both lines decrease sharply initially and then plateau, indicating that the model is learning and the error is reducing over time.

Accuracy:

The orange line (Train Accuracy) and blue line (Val Accuracy) show the accuracy during training and validation. Both lines increase and then level off, showing that the model's predictions are becoming more accurate as training progresses.

Training Iterations:

The x-axis shows the number of training iterations, ranging from 0 to approximately 40. As the iterations increase, both loss decreases and accuracy increases, which is typical in training machine learning models.



Figure 10. Graph of Performance Metrics of Custom CNN



Comparison of Confusion Matrix of Custom CNN and Res Net 50:





Figure 12. Confusion Matrix of Custom-CNN

Predicted labels

0

ż

0

1

The Table 6. displays the Resnet50 training and validation performance data. The performance metrics of the ResNet50 model reflect its effectiveness in classifying esophageal

Oct 2024 | Vol 6 | Issue 4

0

ò

True labels

- 250

- 0

1861

3



cancer images. A validation loss of 0.2252 suggests the model maintains a low error rate when predicting unseen data, indicating strong generalization. With a precision score of 0.9405, the model has few false positives, as evidenced by the 94.05% accuracy rate of the positive predictions it made. With a recall of 0.9352, the model successfully detected 93.52% of all positive events, indicating a low proportion of false negatives. The F1-score of 0.9326, balancing precision with recall, further strengthens the robustness of the model with regard to both precision and recall. When taken as a whole, these metrics show how dependable and accurate ResNet50 is in identifying the distinct types of esophageal cancer.

Table 0. Res Net 50 Performance Methos				
Performance Metrix of Res Net 50				
Validation Loss	0.2252			
Precision	0.9405			
F1- Score	0.9326			
Recall	0.9352			

Table 7 compares the performance of Custom CNN and ResNet50 across key metrics. Both models showed high training accuracy (Custom CNN: 99.12%, ResNet50: 99.32%) and validation accuracy (Custom CNN: 93.12%, ResNet50: 93.32%), with ResNet50 performing slightly better. ResNet50 also had higher precision (0.9405 vs. 0.9389), indicating fewer false positives, and better recall (0.9352 vs. 0.9332), meaning it identified more true positives. The F1-score, which balances precision and recall, was superior for ResNet50 (0.9326 vs. 0.9305), indicating its enhanced overall classification performance compared to Custom CNN.

Table 7. Evaluation Metrics for Custom CNN and ResNet50						
Model	Train	Val	Precision %	Recall %	F1Score %	
	Accuracy	Accuracy				
Custom CNN	99.12%	93.12%	0.9389	0.9332	0.9305	
ResNet50	99.32%	93.32%	0.9405	0.9352	0.9326	

AUC-ROC Curve of all 4 Classes with Res Net 50:

Better model performance is indicated by higher AUC values (closer to 1). In this case, Class 0, Class 2, and Class 3 have an AUC of 1.00, and Class 1 has an AUC of 0.99, indicating excellent performance. Figure 13 helps to visualize the performance of the classification model across different classes and thresholds.





The model shows exceptional performance in classifying all four classes, with minimal misclassification across the board. The ROC curve and AUC values provide strong evidence that the model generalizes well, indicating its reliability in distinguishing between the different esophageal cancer classes. The AUC-ROC Curve of each Class with ResNet50 is shown in Figure 14.





Resnet and CNN architecture are outperformed in medical imaging. ResNet50 is a very deep model that needs residual connections to learn fine or complex details with images. On the other hand, the design of custom convolutional neural network models is optimized according to the provided data and the problem that must be solved. Moreover, due to the computational power limitation, we used only CNN and Resnet50, while in the future we will apply different CNN architectures to the Mauna Kea Technologies Challenge dataset. The experimental results obtained from the application of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) and ResNet50 for classifying esophageal cancer using Cell Vizio images provided significant insights into the effectiveness and potential of deep learning in medical diagnostics. The analysis demonstrated that both models achieved high-performance metrics, reflecting their capabilities in distinguishing between different classes of esophageal cancer. Both the custom CNN and ResNet50 models exhibited excellent performance, with validation accuracies of 93.12% and 93.32%, respectively. These results indicate that the models are adept at generalizing from the training data to unseen validation data. The marginal difference in performance between the models suggests that both approaches are viable, but the ResNet50 model, with its deeper architecture and residual connections, demonstrated superior capability in capturing complex patterns within the data.

Conclusion:

With precision at 93.89%, recall at 93.32%, and an F1 score of 93.05%, the customized CNN model yielded an accuracy of 93.45%. Furthermore, the ResNet50 model demonstrated a 93.26% F1 score, a 94.05% precision, a 93.52% recall, and a 99.32% training accuracy and 93.32% validation accuracy. These findings demonstrate how deep learning methods can be



used to improve patient outcomes and enhance early esophagus cancer detection. ResNet50 appears to be the better model based on its marginally higher F1 score, recall, and precision, all of which indicate a better balance in categorizing both true positives and negatives. Nonetheless, the near measures indicate that while both models perform admirably, ResNet50 performs a little better overall. To improve the resilience and applicability of the model, future studies ought to focus on expanding the dataset, examining different CNN architectures, and including additional clinical data.

Acknowledgment: We would like to express our sincere gratitude to our university. We would like to thank the Computer Science Department for providing the necessary resources and facilities for this research. We are indebted to Mauna Kea Technologies for providing the valuable challenged dataset used in this study.

Author's Contribution: All authors contributed equally to the conceptualization, design, implementation, and writing of this manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript in IJIST.

References:

- M. Khieu and S. Mukherjee, "Barrett Esophagus," StatPearls, Sep. 2024, Accessed: Oct. 12, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK430979/
- [2] C. Q. Liu et al., "Epidemiology of esophageal cancer in 2020 and projections to 2030 and 2040," Thorac. Cancer, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 3–11, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.14745.
- [3] "Cellvizio® Overview Mauna Kea Technologies." Accessed: Oct. 12, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.maunakeatech.com/cellvizio-overview/
- [4] K. Nakagawa et al., "Classification for invasion depth of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma using a deep neural network compared with experienced endoscopists," Gastrointest. Endosc., vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 407–414, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2019.04.245.
- [5] G. Liu et al., "Automatic classification of esophageal lesions in endoscopic images using a convolutional neural network," Ann. Transl. Med., vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 486–486, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.21037/ATM.2020.03.24.
- [6] Z. Wu et al., "ELNet:Automatic classification and segmentation for esophageal lesions using convolutional neural network," Med. Image Anal., vol. 67, p. 101838, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.MEDIA.2020.101838.
- [7] W. Du et al., "Review on the Applications of Deep Learning in the Analysis of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Images," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 142053–142069, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2944676.
- [8] A. Chempak Kumar and D. M. N. Mubarak, "Ensembled CNN with artificial bee colony optimization method for esophageal cancer stage classification using SVM classifier," J. Xray. Sci. Technol., vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 31–51, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.3233/XST-230111.
- [9] W. Huang et al., "Raman spectroscopy and machine learning for the classification of esophageal squamous carcinoma," Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., vol. 281, p. 121654, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1016/J.SAA.2022.121654.
- [10] "Exploring ResNet50: An In-Depth Look at the Model Architecture and Code Implementation | by Nitish Kundu | Medium." Accessed: Oct. 12, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://medium.com/@nitishkundu1993/exploring-resnet50-an-in-depth-lookat-the-model-architecture-and-code-implementation-d8d8fa67e46f
- [11] "Evaluation Metrics for Classification | by Python Programmer | Medium." Accessed: Oct.
 12, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://medium.com/@mlmind/evaluation-metrics-forclassification-fc770511052d



Copyright © by authors and 50Sea. This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.