



## Developments of Agriculture Practice in Eco-Friendly Region

Asad Waseem <sup>1\*</sup>, Aamer Amin <sup>2</sup>, Jamal Hassan<sup>3</sup>, Tahir Mahmood<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Agriculture University Faisalabad.

\* Email: [Asad.waseem@gmail.com](mailto:Asad.waseem@gmail.com)

**Citation** | Asad Waseem, Aamer Amin, Jamal Hassan and Tahir Mahmood, “Developments of Agriculture Practice in Eco-Friendly Region,” *Int. J. Agric. Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 31–39, 2022.

**Received** | February 09, 2022; **Revised** | February 16, 2022; **Accepted** | March 10, 2022;

**Published** | March 14, 2022.

An in-depth familiarity with the plant-soil mycobiome is crucial for achieving the ecological and sustainable agriculture that is so desired. Both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems can be impacted by commercial industrial agriculture due to the changes it makes to greenhouse gas emissions, the promotion of loss of plant and soil biodiversity, the increase in pollution caused by a rise in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, and the release of pesticides. Reduce terrestrial greenhouse gas emissions and address global sustainability threats with diversified farming systems, such as perennial cultivated pastures. Soil microbes can be stimulated, and the soil can be managed, to affect soil interactions and the rates at which organic matter decomposes and gases are released. The extent to which biocontrol agents, bio fertilizers, and pesticide exposure affect agricultural soil microbial communities, which play a central role in ecosystem processes, remains unclear. Increased carbon fixation by plants, carbon transfer to the soil (especially via mycorrhizas), and altered interplant interactions are all benefits of intercropping different plant species. This article provides an overview of agroecosystems, highlighting recent developments in the plant-soil interface (the mycobiome) that contribute to environmentally sound food production.

**Keywords:** Climate and crops, Crop Water Requirement, Water Scarcity



## Introduction

More and more studies are being conducted on the mycorrhizosphere [1], in addition to the soil microbiome [2], photodiode, or plant microbiome. Researchers, NGOs, and farmers are all invested in developing new data that will aid in the transition to a greener, more sustainable agricultural system. Decision-makers and policy-makers need to pay attention to the fungal communities (microbiomes) and data on environmental biodiversity [3]. Most plants form symbiotic relationships with microorganisms; for example, a single plant species may interact with a number of different species of endophytes and root symbionts [4]. This has led to the development of new projects focusing on the rhizosphere and its associated microbiota. However, the complexity of the microorganisms present in these interactions and their roles, such as the association between carbuncular mycorrhizas hyphae and soil microbiota in the "Hyphosphere" [5], remains poorly understood. It is important to understand the processes underlying the plant-microbial interactions in both natural and semi-natural ecosystems because soil micro-organisms have diverse distribution patterns and high biodiversity can be found in certain ecosystems [5].

Soil degradation, increased pollution from fertilizers and pesticides, and a change in greenhouse gas emissions are all linked to industrial farming and livestock [6]. Happily, growing evidence from the scientific community suggests a strong preference for developing environmentally sustainable farming practices [7]. For this reason, it is important to establish links between microbial ecological interactions and global nutrient cycling, chemical pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) management in natural or managed ecosystems, and microbial diversity loss.

This research shows that reducing greenhouse gas emissions, increasing the use of bio fertilizers and biocontrol agents, and adopting perennial farming practices can all lead to more environmentally friendly agricultural output. It is proposed that agro ecologically focused diversification of farming systems can help mitigate the negative effects of global change on the agricultural sector [1].

## Fungal Community

The soil microbiome is the subject of numerous recent studies. [1] however most research has been done on the photodetector or rhizosphere. Because of the wide range of environments that can support them, fungal communities in soil can be remarkably diverse. [8][9]. The increase in plant biomass that results from CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization isn't always maximized because of N limitations [10]. Plants and their surrounding soil form mycorrhizae, which aid in mineral feeding, water acquisition, carbon distribution, stress tolerance, interplant competition, and other plant-related processes [11][12][13].

Legumes (rhizobia) and actinorhizal plants including *Alnus* spp., *s. Casuarina* spp., and certain genera of Rosaceae (*Cercocarpus*, *Chamaebatiaria*, *Dryas*, *Purshia*) host additional symbioses. Actinorhizal species can improve soil nitrogen concentration, making them useful for forestry and agroforestry, however this relationship has received little research. Moreover, some *Frankia* strains can multiply in the litter and may even be saprophytic [14][15]. Symbiotic *Frankia* bacteria are filamentous, gram-positive, nitrogen-fixing bacteria. More than 220 plant species from 25 plant genera (eight plant families) were reported. Researcher have been divided into three categories according to their host plant genus:

There is also genetic variation across researcher strains within the same host species, although the patterns and reasons of this variation are poorly known despite their immense potential. Yet, in the plant-soil ecology, saprotrophic fungi can be helpful to plants in a number of different ways despite not being symbiotic with plants. Global in distribution, *Trichoderma* is a major component of a wide variety of ecosystems across a spectrum of environmental

conditions. In addition, these adaptable fungus can, in theory, be separated from various farmland settings[16]. The occurrence of a species is governed by a number of factors, such as the local climate, the accessibility of suitable substrates, and complex ecological relationships. Endophytic organisms are those that make their home within a plant and offer benefits such as increased growth, reduced drought stress, and disease resistance to the host plant.

Some studies have studied the fungal communities (microbiomes) linked with plants in different environments and concluded that microbiome diversity is greatest in regions with a wide assortment of host plants. There was a lack of microbial variety in the artificial environment (nursery).

There has been an uptick in research on the microbiota of human-affected soils to learn more about how on-farm bio purifying systems or farm management methods like biofertilization might reduce agricultural contamination and promote sustainable output. In the barnyard, water pollution can affect the local microbiome by releasing a cocktail of harmful molecules (pesticides and other pollutants).

Microorganisms and the variety of microorganisms in soil are impacted by anthropogenic substances, and these compounds can also alter the course of several biochemical events. The importance of soil microbiological activity is significantly affected by whether an agroecosystem is managed organically or conventionally. Herbicides have been shown to improve soil health by fostering more fungal activity, and fungicides have been shown to increase organic matter in soil.

Soil ecosystems are not fully studied for their vulnerability to increased chemical inputs and negative effects [17]. We are all aware that harmful chemicals used in modern monoculture and a variety of plant physiology diseases are wreaking havoc on our ecosystems.

While conventional farmers are just beginning to use biofertilizers and soil conditioners that take advantage of natural processes, organic farmers have wasted no time in incorporating natural residues from horticultural plants and crops into their practices. It is crucial to evaluate the plant-soil microbial communities that are connected to sustainable agricultural systems in order to counteract the effects of global change [18].

Mycorrhiza symbiosis, compost (chosen urban waste) application, effective phosphate solubilizing microorganisms, microbial inoculants, biochar, and other soil conditioners are increasingly being studied for their potential benefits around the world. Coffee, olive trees, and vineyards are just a few examples of economically important agroecosystems that are receiving special attention from researchers developing new methods of cultivation.

Researching the microbiome in plants requires an awareness of the agricultural consequences of various soil conditioners on agronomic successions and organic matter decomposition. By adjusting their interactions with one another, ectomycorrhizal plants can simulate the composition of symbiotic fungal communities in response to environmental stressors such as human activity or shifting weather patterns [19]. Mycorrhizal hyphal networks connecting the roots of plants are essential to plant survival during drought because they facilitate the exchange of hydraulically redistributed water across plants. When the environment heats and dries out, hydrophobic ectomycorrhizal fungi (EMF) become increasingly prominent in Mediterranean pine woods, changing the abundance and community composition of EMF.

### **Developments in Agriculture**

Greenhouse gas emissions are altered, biodiversity is lost, and pollution levels, including atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, are raised as a result of commercial industrial agriculture. There is a correlation between increased photosynthetic activity and C fixation by plants, both of which lead to enhanced C transfer to the soil via mycorrhizas.

An illustration would be the phrase "conservation conservation." agriculture" [20] was implemented to rethink how maize and wheat fields in South Asia and Africa are managed so that they can produce food sustainably [21]. The rising awareness of the importance of carbon sequestration in Latin America has led to improved pasture management [22].

Even though agroforestry has the potential to lower irrigation and energy consumption in South America compared to monoculture, there is a paucity of data on how to effectively optimize its implementation. Tropical agro-systems commonly include *Brachiaria* and *Panicum* species [22].

Given the significance of agroecosystems in adapting to climate change, novel crop system designs that provide various environmental advantages require urgent attention. This literature review set out to assess the developments in the administration of tropical agroecosystems within the constraints posed by climate change in tropical regions.

More and more data are being collected on how climate change is affecting forest management, but more needs to be done to ensure the continued provision of forest goods and services and lessen the effects of this phenomenon [23] [24]. Protecting and enhancing natural ecosystems, as well as preserving and recovering degraded watersheds and rangelands, and planting perennials are all ways to lessen the impact of global warming. Soil moisture and temperature are expected to be significantly affected by climate change. Plants are known to react to climate change in one of four ways: adaptation, extinction, or dispersal. While some plant species may be able to adapt to their new environments, trees cannot rapidly evolve to meet the challenges of a changing climate due to their long generation times [25].

Even if most of the precipitation occurs only seasonally, as it does in some locations with a monsoon climate, the heat and humidity nevertheless allow plants to thrive. Average yearly precipitation of 1,000 - 2,000 mm. The Cerrado vegetation in Brazil is typical of the savannah, and its inhabitants have a larger responsibility to conserve water. Since precipitation is seasonal, careful tree placement is essential to preventing soil erosion [26]. Even when it does rain, it tends to soak into the ground rather than run off

Controlling soil erosion, supplying plant products, resisting invasion, managing pathogens and pests, maintaining soil fertility, and interacting with microbial communities all rely on plant cover, making it the most significant vegetation attribute [27]. In addition, a deeper understanding of crop cycles [28] can be attained through a deeper appreciation of the phytomicrobiome [29]. As many as 200,000 plant species are speculated to harbor this symbiosis, despite only about 3617 plant species having been proven to connect with AM. Data keeps piling up. It was estimated in 2012 that there were 3,941 invasive species [30]. Research into the effects of varying soil depths is lacking. Each published study typically includes a discussion of the soil depth at which the research was conducted [31]. The majority of sampling efforts only probe the surface [32]. The fact that pastures' root systems can extend to depths of 2 meters or more has significant implications for both the fertility of the soil and the viability of future crop plantings [33]. Soil profile residues (dead roots) and surface residues (mulch) both rise as root development increases.

Several plant types and species are highlighted in the literature as being particularly well-suited for use in hybrid crop-livestock systems [34]. Because of the potential impact on AM occurrence, ecosystem service provision, and soil fertility, more study is needed into the utilization of diverse plant species and technology in agroforestry [35] [36].

## Conclusions

Researchers in South America found that plant-photobomb management improved output and stress resistance. So, we presented the research directions we need to better understand ecosystem functions like the occurrence and benefits of AMFs.

## References

- [1] D. S. Lundberg *et al.*, “Defining the core *Arabidopsis thaliana* root microbiome,” *Nat.* 2012 4887409, vol. 488, no. 7409, pp. 86–90, Aug. 2012, doi: 10.1038/nature11237.
- [2] B. Lugtenberg, “Principles of plant-microbe interactions: Microbes for sustainable agriculture,” *Princ. Plant-Microbe Interact. Microbes Sustain. Agric.*, pp. 1–448, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-08575-3/COVER.
- [3] G. B. Martha, E. Alves, and E. Contini, “Dimensão econômica de sistemas de integração lavoura-pecuária,” *Pesqui. Agropecuária Bras.*, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 1117–1126, Oct. 2011, doi: 10.1590/S0100-204X2011001000002.
- [4] M. Davinic, J. Moore-Kucera, V. Acosta-Martínez, J. Zak, and V. Allen, “Soil fungal distribution and functionality as affected by grazing and vegetation components of integrated crop–livestock agroecosystems,” *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, vol. 66, pp. 61–70, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1016/J.APSOIL.2013.01.013.
- [5] T. W. Kuyper and R. G. M. De Goede, “Interactions Between Higher Plants and Soil-dwelling Organisms,” *Veg. Ecol. Second Ed.*, pp. 260–284, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1002/9781118452592.CH9.
- [6] B. Wang and Y. L. Qiu, “Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of mycorrhizas in land plants,” *Mycorrhiza*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 299–363, Jul. 2006, doi: 10.1007/S00572-005-0033-6/METRICS.
- [7] D. L. Smith, S. Subramanian, J. R. Lamont, and M. Bywater-Ekegård, “Signaling in the phytomicrobiome: Breadth and potential,” *Front. Plant Sci.*, vol. 6, no. September, p. 709, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.3389/FPLS.2015.00709/BIBTEX.
- [8] S. Quijas, B. Schmid, and P. Balvanera, “Plant diversity enhances provision of ecosystem services: A new synthesis,” *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 582–593, Nov. 2010, doi: 10.1016/J.BAAE.2010.06.009.
- [9] T. H. Booth *et al.*, “Native forests and climate change: Lessons from eucalypts,” *For. Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 347, pp. 18–29, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.FORECO.2015.03.002.
- [10] S. Federici, F. N. Tubiello, M. Salvatore, H. Jacobs, and J. Schmidhuber, “New estimates of CO2 forest emissions and removals: 1990–2015,” *For. Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 352, pp. 89–98, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.FORECO.2015.04.022.
- [11] H. W. Nelson, T. B. Williamson, C. Macaulay, and C. Mahony, “Assessing the potential for forest management practitioner participation in climate change adaptation,” *For. Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 360, pp. 388–399, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.FORECO.2015.09.038.
- [12] V. Kumar, “Use of integrated nutrient management to enhance soil fertility and crop yield of hybrid cultivar of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) under field conditions,” *Adv. Plants Agric. Res.*, vol. Volume 4, no. Issue 2, Jul. 2016, doi: 10.15406/APAR.2016.04.00130.
- [13] G. Lemaire, A. Franzluebbers, P. C. de F. Carvalho, and B. Dedieu, “Integrated crop–livestock systems: Strategies to achieve synergy between agricultural production and environmental quality,” *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, vol. 190, pp. 4–8, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.1016/J.AGEE.2013.08.009.
- [14] G. Imfeld and S. Vuilleumier, “Measuring the effects of pesticides on bacterial communities in soil: A critical review,” *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, vol. 49, pp. 22–30, Mar. 2012, doi: 10.1016/J.EJSOBI.2011.11.010.
- [15] A. S. F. Araújo, R. T. R. Monteiro, and R. B. Abarkeli, “Effect of glyphosate on the microbial activity of two Brazilian soils,” *Chemosphere*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 799–804, Aug. 2003, doi: 10.1016/S0045-6535(03)00266-2.
- [16] S. Haider and N. Ibrahim, “Ecological Significance of floristic structure and Biological Spectrum of alpine floral biodiversity of Khunjerab National Park Gilgit-

- Baltistan Pakistan,” *Int. J. Innov. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 564–577, 2022.
- [17] D. D. Douds, J. Lee, L. McKeever, C. Ziegler-Ulsh, and S. Ganser, “Utilization of inoculum of AM fungi produced on-farm increases the yield of *Solanum lycopersicum*: A summary of 7 years of field trials on a conventional vegetable farm with high soil phosphorus,” *Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam)*, vol. 207, pp. 89–96, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.SCIENTA.2016.05.013.
- [18] K. Ruiz-Hidalgo, J. S. Chin-Pampillo, M. Masís-Mora, E. Carazo-Rojas, and C. E. Rodríguez-Rodríguez, “Optimization of a Fungally Bioaugmented Biomixture for Carbofuran Removal in On-Farm Biopurification Systems,” *Water. Air. Soil Pollut.*, vol. 227, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1007/S11270-015-2681-2/METRICS.
- [19] M. Unterseher, A. B. Siddique, A. Brachmann, and D. Peršoh, “Diversity and Composition of the Leaf Mycobiome of Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) Are Affected by Local Habitat Conditions and Leaf Biochemistry,” *PLoS One*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. e0152878, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0152878.
- [20] I. Piccoli *et al.*, “Disentangling the effects of conservation agriculture practices on the vertical distribution of soil organic carbon. Evidence of poor carbon sequestration in North- Eastern Italy,” *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, vol. 230, pp. 68–78, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.AGEE.2016.05.035.
- [21] L. Kredics *et al.*, “Biodiversity of the Genus *Hypocrea*/*Trichoderma* in Different Habitats,” *Biotechnol. Biol. Trichoderma*, pp. 3–24, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-59576-8.00001-1.
- [22] F. Vinale, K. Sivasithamparam, E. L. Ghisalberti, R. Marra, S. L. Woo, and M. Lorito, “Trichoderma–plant–pathogen interactions,” *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Jan. 2008, doi: 10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2007.07.002.
- [23] G. E. Harman, C. R. Howell, A. Viterbo, I. Chet, and M. Lorito, “Trichoderma species — opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts,” *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2004 21, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 43–56, Jan. 2004, doi: 10.1038/nrmicro797.
- [24] M. L. Clawson and D. R. Benson, “Natural diversity of Frankia strains in actinorhizal root nodules from promiscuous hosts in the family Myricaceae,” *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, vol. 65, no. 10, pp. 4521–4527, 1999, doi: 10.1128/AEM.65.10.4521-4527.1999/ASSET/C2C9291A-2090-444D-A57C-4C1811F79C62/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/AM1090800003.JPEG.
- [25] L. G. Wall, “The actinorhizal symbiosis,” *J. Plant Growth Regul.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 167–182, 2000, doi: 10.1007/S003440000027/METRICS.
- [26] B. S. Mirza, A. Welsh, and D. Hahn, “Saprophytic growth of inoculated Frankia sp. in soil microcosms,” *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 280–289, Dec. 2007, doi: 10.1111/J.1574-6941.2007.00382.X.
- [27] B. Oakley, M. North, J. F. Franklin, B. P. Hedlund, and J. T. Staley, “Diversity and distribution of Frankia strains symbiotic with *Ceanothus* in California,” *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, vol. 70, no. 11, pp. 6444–6452, Nov. 2004, doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.11.6444-6452.2004/ASSET/351B95B4-62D4-4DB5-9B16-08C705A307FC/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZAM0110449750005.JPEG.
- [28] T. R. Scheublin, I. R. Sanders, C. Keel, and J. R. Van Der Meer, “Characterisation of microbial communities colonising the hyphal surfaces of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi,” *ISME J.* 2010 46, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 752–763, Feb. 2010, doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.5.
- [29] E. K. Barto, M. Hilker, F. Müller, B. K. Mohney, J. D. Weidenhamer, and M. C. Rillig, “The Fungal Fast Lane: Common Mycorrhizal Networks Extend Bioactive Zones of Allelochemicals in Soils,” *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 11, p. e27195, Nov. 2011, doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0027195.

- [30] J. Jansa, P. Bukovská, and M. Gryndler, “Mycorrhizal hyphae as ecological niche for highly specialized hypersymbionts - Or just soil free-riders?,” *Front. Plant Sci.*, vol. 4, no. MAY, p. 134, May 2013, doi: 10.3389/FPLS.2013.00134/BIBTEX.
- [31] B. L. Dantas, O. B. Weber, J. P. M. Neto, A. G. Rossetti, and M. C. Pagano, “Diversidade de fungos micorrízicos arbusculares em pomar orgânico no semiárido cearense,” *Ciência Rural*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 1480–1486, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1590/0103-8478CR20130097.
- [32] M. C. Pagano *et al.*, “Mycorrhizas in Agroecosystems,” pp. 91–100, 2016, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-24355-9\_8.
- [33] J. Jansa and M. Gryndler, “Biotic environment of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil,” *Arbuscular Mycorrhizas Physiol. Funct.*, pp. 209–236, 2010, doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-9489-6\_10/COVER.
- [34] R. Balestrini, E. Lumini, R. Borriello, and V. Bianciotto, “Plant-Soil Biota Interactions,” *Soil Microbiol. Ecol. Biochem.*, pp. 311–338, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-415955-6.00011-6.
- [35] A. Specht *et al.*, “Data management challenges in analysis and synthesis in the ecosystem sciences,” *Sci. Total Environ.*, vol. 534, pp. 144–158, Nov. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2015.03.092.
- [36] J. M. Talbot *et al.*, “Endemism and functional convergence across the North American soil mycobiome,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 111, no. 17, pp. 6341–6346, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1073/PNAS.1402584111/SUPPL\_FILE/SD02.XLSX.



Copyright © by authors and 50Sea. This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.